

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,118	11/03/2005	Takashi Shinohara	239188	1727
23400 7590 099182009 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTDT TWO PRUDENTIAL, PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			EXAMINER	
			TON, THAIAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com Chgpatent1@leydig.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553.118 SHINOHARA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1632 Thaian N. Ton All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Thaian N. Ton. (2) Rachel Mejdrich. (4)____. Date of Interview: 31 August 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: Of Record. Identification of prior art discussed: Of Record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the 1.132 Declaration by Shinohara with regard to F/S (Experiment 1) and F/SP medium (experiment 2). Additionally discussed the importance of serum and mouse embryonic fibroblast feeder cells in the claimed methods. Ms. Meidrich discussed that "F/S" medium and "F/SP" medium appear to be the same medium and noted that a corrected Declaration would be filed to correct this. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Thaian N Ton/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632